



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/611,948

07/03/2003

Hisashi Ishikawa

00862.023127.

5415

5514 7590 04/15/2010
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO
1290 Avenue of the Americas
NEW YORK, NY 10104-3800

EXAMINER

VO, QUANG N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/15/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/611,948	Applicant(s) ISHIKAWA, HISASHI	
	Examiner Quang N. Vo	Art Unit 2625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 January 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-7,20,22-26 and 39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-7,20,22-26 and 39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Regarding claim 1, applicant's argument is not seen to disclose or to suggest at least the features of i) a bit connection component that connects a decimal portion of image data of a preceding pixel output from a latch component, to image data of a target pixel as lower bits of the image data of the target pixel, and outputs the bit-connected image data of the target pixel, wherein the bit-connected image data has an integer portion of the image data of the target pixel and the decimal portion of image data of the preceding pixel, ii) a latch component that latches a decimal portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel to be connected to image data of a next pixel, without latching an integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel, iii) a quantization component that receives an integer portion of the corrected image data without receiving the decimal portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel, and quantizes the received integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel, and iv) an error diffusion component that generates a correction value by diffusing the quantization error stored in buffer, wherein the correction value to be added in correction component is generated by error diffusion component from the quantization errors of neighboring pixels of the target pixel stored in buffer and diffusion coefficients being smaller than 1.0.

In response: Katayama discloses a bit connection component (e.g., a program storing in the ROM 912 for executing adding pixel data to error data (a bit connection), block S3, figure 28, column 20, lines 4-6) that connects a decimal portion of image data

Art Unit: 2625

(e.g., a distribution-error value (decimal portion), column 20, lines 36-37) of a preceding pixel (e.g., objective pixel, column 20, lines 44-46) output from a latch component (e.g., error storing means block 908, figure 25), to image data of a target pixel as lower bits of the image data of the target pixel (e.g., a neighboring pixel (a target pixel), column 20, lines 44-48), and outputs the bit-connected image data of the target pixel, wherein the bit-connected image data has an integer portion of the image data of the target pixel and the decimal portion of image data of the preceding pixel (e.g., when the objective pixel is input (the image inputting means 901 and the image inputting section 914), the density of the objective pixel is added to the error data (stored in the image memory 915) which was previously accumulated in (distributed to) the objective pixel position (data adding means), column 20, lines 25-31); a correction component (e.g., data adding means block 902, error-to-be-distributed computing means block 903, and error storing means block 908, figure 25) that generates corrected image data of the target by adding a correction value to the bit-connected image data of the target pixel (e.g., figure 25, column 19, lines 44-65); a latch component (e.g., arithmetic error computing means 905; error distributing means 907, figure 25) that latches the a decimal portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel to be connected to image data of a next pixel (e.g., arithmetic-error computing means 905 for computing an arithmetic error from a signal from the data adding means 902 and a signal output from the error-to-be-distributed computing means 904, column 19, lines), without latching an integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel (e.g., error-to-be-distributed computing means 904 for performing integral operations (with a decimal portion omitted), column

Art Unit: 2625

19, lines 49-50. Note: error-to-be-distributed computing means 904 for performing integral operations (separated from decimal portion); a quantization component that receives an integer portion of the corrected image data without receiving the decimal portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel, and quantizes the received integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel (e.g., error-to-be-distributed computing means 904 for performing integral operations (with a decimal portion omitted), column 19, lines 47-50); a buffer that stores the calculated quantization error (e.g., error distributing means 907 and block 908, figure 25); and an error diffusion component that generates a correction value by diffusing the quantization error stored in buffer (e.g., error storing means block 908 and data adding means block 902, figures 25), wherein the correction value to be added in correction component is generated by error diffusion component from the quantization errors of neighboring pixels of the target pixel stored in buffer and diffusion coefficients being smaller than 1.0 (e.g., figure 27 with diffusion coefficient $1/3$, $1/6$...).

Katayama does not explicitly disclose an inverse quantizing component that inverse-quantizes the quantized integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel, and outputs an inverse-quantized data of the target pixel; a calculation component that outputs a quantization error of the target pixel based on a difference between the integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel and the inverse-quantized data of the target pixel.

Ishikawa's 075 discloses an inverse quantizing component (e.g., inverse quantizer 31, figure 3) that inverse-quantizes the quantized integer portion of the

Art Unit: 2625

corrected image data of the target pixel (e.g., output from quantizer 13 (integer portion), figure 3), and outputs an inverse-quantized data of the target pixel (e.g., the quantized representative values of the CMY signals outputted by the inverse quantizer 31, column 8, lines 19-21); a calculation component (e.g., The adder/subtractor 32, column 8, line 18) that outputs a quantization error of the target pixel based on a difference between the integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel and the inverse-quantized data of the target pixel (e.g., subtracting the CMY signals outputted by the offset adder 17, column 8, lines 18-19) and the inverse-quantized data (e.g., the quantized representative values of the CMY signals outputted by the inverse quantizer 31, column 8, lines 19-21).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Katayama to include an inverse quantizing component that inverse-quantizes the quantized integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel, and outputs an inverse-quantized data of the target pixel; a calculation component that outputs a quantization error of the target pixel based on a difference between the integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel and the inverse-quantized data of the target pixel as taught by Ishikawa's 075. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Katayama by the teaching of Ishikawa to conveniently diffuse error to neighboring pixels to have better image quality.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Art Unit: 2625

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 20, 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent¹ and recent Federal Circuit decisions² indicate that a statutory “process” under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. While the instant claim(s) recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, the claim(s) neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process. For example, claim 20 is directed to a method implemented in an image processing apparatus, steps recites “a bit connection step of connecting a decimal portion of image data of a preceding pixel output from a latch component...”, “a correction step of generating corrected image data of the target pixel by adding a correction value to the bit-connected image data of the target pixel”, “a latch step of latching, by the latch component, a decimal portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel to be connected to the image data of the next pixel, without latching an integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel”, The applicant has not provided explicit and deliberate definitions of which particular apparatus/machine is used for executing these steps and there is insignificant transform underlying subject

¹ *Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876).

² *In re Bilski*, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

Art Unit: 2625

matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. Thus, the method implemented in an image processing apparatus would be reasonably interpreted as a series of steps completely performed mentally, verbally or without a machine, i.e. a set of algorithm or a set of procedures without a machine for execution.

Claims 22-26 are rejected because it depends on claim 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 7, 20, 22, 26, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katayama et al. (Katayama) (US 5,488,673) in view of Ishikawa (US 6,330,075).

With regard to claim 1, Katayama discloses an image processing apparatus (e.g., an image processing apparatus, figure 26) comprising: a bit connection component (e.g., a program storing in the ROM 912 for executing adding pixel data to error data (a bit connection), block S3, figure 28, column 20, lines 4-6) that connects a decimal portion of image data (e.g., a distribution-error value (decimal portion), column 20, lines 36-37) of a preceding pixel (e.g., objective pixel, column 20, lines 44-46) output from a latch component (e.g., error storing means block 908, figure 25), to image data of a target pixel as lower bits of the image data of the target pixel (e.g., a neighboring pixel

Art Unit: 2625

(a target pixel), column 20, lines 44-48), and outputs the bit-connected image data of the target pixel, wherein the bit-connected image data has an integer portion of the image data of the target pixel and the decimal portion of image data of the preceding pixel (e.g., when the objective pixel is input (the image inputting means 901 and the image inputting section 914), the density of the objective pixel is added to the error data (stored in the image memory 915) which was previously accumulated in (distributed to) the objective pixel position (data adding means), column 20, lines 25-31); a correction component (e.g., data adding means block 902, error-to-be-distributed computing means block 903, and error storing means block 908, figure 25) that generates corrected image data of the target by adding a correction value to the bit-connected image data of the target pixel (e.g., figure 25, column 19, lines 44-65); a latch component (e.g., arithmetic error computing means 905; error distributing means 907, figure 25) that latches the a decimal portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel to be connected to image data of a next pixel (e.g., arithmetic-error computing means 905 for computing an arithmetic error from a signal from the data adding means 902 and a signal output from the error-to-be-distributed computing means 904, column 19, lines), without latching an integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel (e.g., error-to-be-distributed computing means 904 for performing integral operations (with a decimal portion omitted), column 19, lines 49-50. Note: error-to-be-distributed computing means 904 for performing integral operations (separated from decimal portion); a quantization component that receives an integer portion of the corrected image data without receiving the decimal portion of the corrected image data

Art Unit: 2625

of the target pixel, and quantizes the received integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel (e.g., error-to-be-distributed computing means 904 for performing integral operations (with a decimal portion omitted), column 19, lines 47-50); a buffer that stores the calculated quantization error (e.g., error distributing means 907 and block 908, figure 25); and an error diffusion component that generates a correction value by diffusing the quantization error stored in buffer (e.g., error storing means block 908 and data adding means block 902, figures 25), wherein the correction value to be added in correction component is generated by error diffusion component from the quantization errors of neighboring pixels of the target pixel stored in buffer and diffusion coefficients being smaller than 1.0 (e.g., figure 27 with diffusion coefficient 1/3, 1/6...).

Katayama does not explicitly disclose an inverse quantizing component that inverse-quantizes the quantized integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel, and outputs an inverse-quantized data of the target pixel; a calculation component that outputs a quantization error of the target pixel based on a difference between the integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel and the inverse-quantized data of the target pixel.

Ishikawa's 075 discloses an inverse quantizing component (e.g., inverse quantizer 31, figure 3) that inverse-quantizes the quantized integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel (e.g., output from quantizer 13 (integer portion), figure 3), and outputs an inverse-quantized data of the target pixel (e.g., the quantized representative values of the CMY signals outputted by the inverse quantizer 31, column 8, lines 19-21); a calculation component (e.g., The adder/subtractor 32, column 8, line

Art Unit: 2625

18) that outputs a quantization error of the target pixel based on a difference between the integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel and the inverse-quantized data of the target pixel (e.g., subtracting the CMY signals outputted by the offset adder 17, column 8, lines 18-19) and the inverse-quantized data (e.g., the quantized representative values of the CMY signals outputted by the inverse quantizer 31, column 8, lines 19-21).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Katayama to include an inverse quantizing component that inverse-quantizes the quantized integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel, and outputs an inverse-quantized data of the target pixel; a calculation component that outputs a quantization error of the target pixel based on a difference between the integer portion of the corrected image data of the target pixel and the inverse-quantized data of the target pixel as taught by Ishikawa's 075. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Katayama by the teaching of Ishikawa to conveniently diffuse error to neighboring pixels to have better image quality.

With regard to claim 3, Katayama discloses further comprising a stop component that stops propagating the correction value in a case in which it is inappropriate to propagate the correction value to next and subsequent pixels (e.g., the error distribution controlling circuit, figures 6, column 7, line 61 – column 8, lines 63).

With regard to claim 7, Katayama discloses further comprising a numerical value limit component that limits the quantization error calculated by calculation component to

Art Unit: 2625

a numerical value within a predetermined range (e.g., the error distribution controlling circuit, column 7, line 61 – column 8, line 65).

Referring to claim 20:

Claim 20 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 1 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 1. Therefore claim 20 is rejected as set forth above for claim 1.

Referring to claim 22:

Claim 22 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 3 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 3. Therefore claim 22 is rejected as set forth above for claim 3.

Referring to claim 26:

Claim 26 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 7 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 7. Therefore claim 26 is rejected as set forth above for claim 7.

Referring to claim 39:

Claim 39 is the computer-executable storage medium claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 1 with instruction steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 1. Therefore claim 39 is rejected as set forth above for claim 1.

Claims 4-6, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katayama et al. (Katayama) (US 5,488,673) and Ishikawa (US

Art Unit: 2625

6,330,075) as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Nakano et al. (Nakano) (US 6,977,756).

With regard to claim 4, Katayama and Ishikawa's 075 differ from claim 4, in that they do not explicitly show a clear component to clear data/error portion in latch/temporary memory in case in which it is inappropriate.

Nakano discloses a clear component to clear data/error portion in latch/temporary memory (e.g., it clears a content of the error holding register, column 5, lines 58-61).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Katayama and Ishikawa's 075 to include a clear component to clear data/error portion in latch/temporary memory in case in which it is inappropriate conditions as taught by Nakano. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Katayama and Ishikawa's 075 by the teaching of Nakano to clear data/error as needed.

With regard to claim 5, Nakano discloses further comprising a processing limit component that limits clearing by clear component when a scanning direction of the input image is reversed (e.g., adder 9 detects forward and reversed direction, column 9, lines 13-34).

With regard to claim 6, Nakano discloses wherein the case in which it is inappropriate to propagate the correction value to next and subsequent pixels includes at least one of a case in which a pixel of interest is a start pixel of a line, a case in which the pixel of interest has a value equal to a lower limit level of the input image, and a

Art Unit: 2625

case in which the pixel of interest has a value equal to an upper limit level of the input image (column 8, line 48 – column 9, line 3).

Referring to claim 23:

Claim 23 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 4 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 4. Therefore claim 23 is rejected as set forth above for claim 4.

Referring to claim 24:

Claim 24 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 5 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 5. Therefore claim 24 is rejected as set forth above for claim 5.

Referring to claim 25:

Claim 25 is the method claim corresponding to operation of the device in claim 6 with method steps corresponding directly to the function of device elements in claim 6. Therefore claim 25 is rejected as set forth above for claim 6.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2625

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang N. Vo whose telephone number is (571)270-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-5:00PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Quang N Vo/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625